

## Emotion is more than a feeling: The elements of emotion in action

By Lorrie Brubacher M Ed, LMFT and Alison Lee, Ph.D, C. Psych

As published in the EFT Community News fall 2012

Beginning EFT therapists sometimes feel caught in a cul-de-sac of reflecting and evoking feelings and then asking themselves, “What next? How do I engage the partners in accessing and unpacking more of their experience?” We want to give you a way forward, out of the cul-de-sac: When you view emotion as a feeling-meaning-action process you will find many ways to unpack emotion, understand how it is quickly triggered and unfold the cycle.

The markers described in the toolbox article, elsewhere in this newsletter, can best be understood against the backdrop of this information-processing model of emotion. Attachment emotions in close relationships are triggered rapidly and automatically because they are essentially about scanning the partner’s reactions to evaluate the safety of the attachment bond. They happen much faster than conscious thought and they trigger behaviours and emotions much faster than conscious thought has time to intervene.

Let us take a well known, simple example, used by J Ledoux in *The Emotional Brain*, that illustrates how emotions, wired into us by evolution, help us to survive and propel us toward actions long before we have time to engage in conscious thought. We are walking through a forest and we nearly step on a coiled object. We jump back horrified that we may have incurred terrible danger, before looking more closely and seeing that we nearly trod on a coil of rope. Whew, a close shave! What happened here was that we saw something that was potentially dangerous – a poisonous snake? The sight of the coil was the **cue** that was rapidly assessed by our limbic system, and we jump back and discover that our pulse is racing and we are breathing hard. We just made a preconscious limbic appraisal – is this environmental cue safe or dangerous?

We are constantly reacting to such cues in our environment. In the example above there was:

A **cue** (the coil)

A **rapid limbic appraisal** (safe or not safe?)

A **physiological arousal** (racing pulse etc.)

An **action tendency** (we jumped back), and

A **cognitive appraisal** (oh, wow, it’s only a rope).

There is also **EMOTION** here – the **primary**, first-felt emotion of course was fear – although we may actually only be aware that we feel relief. Possibly we may also feel secondary anger – “Who left that coil of rope there to scare me anyway!!” These are the elements of emotion in action. Whether or not we consciously recognized our fear, this emotion motivates us to act in the face of danger.

If we are walking through the jungle, we are more likely to be vigilant for danger than if we are in our own back yard. Apply this concept to the most important thing in our lives, our close relationships: When we feel safe, secure and loved, we are less likely to be reactive to a moment of disagreement or impatience, whereas when we feel insecure and unloved we may become as 'on guard' as we are when we walk through the jungle.


Let us now take the elements described above one at a time and see how distressed couples might react to each other:

1. **Cue** – Emotion is triggered by a cue. We are always reacting to external signals received through sensory channels. Distressed couples are exquisitely attuned to each other and react rapidly to facial expressions, body language or tone of voice. One glance of that lowered eyelid or tense jaw signals danger of a partner's displeasure. Alternatively, a partner may react to an inexpressive face, sensing distance and an indication that the partner is unavailable.
2. **Limbic Appraisal** – This is a preliminary, rapid assessment of safety or danger that is pre-conscious and pre-verbal. In distressed couples, it becomes the "Oh-oh!" alarm bell in the negative cycle, long before any relationship-threatening thoughts are formulated.
3. **Physiological Arousal** – Once a cue is appraised as dangerous, there follows a physiological response (heart racing, head-pounding, knots in stomach). The body is preparing for a fight, flight or freeze survival response. Clients are frequently unaware of this response; however, Gottman found that in withdrawn, stonewalling men, heart rate and blood pressure increased sharply in response to cues that their spouses were displeased with them. It seems the body senses before the mind knows.
4. **Cognitive Appraisal** – We then attempt to make sense out of the bodily arousal we are experiencing. In our primary relationships, we tend to create attachment-threatening meanings such as "He is not there for me"; "She is not safe"; "I am failing in her eyes"; "I am not good enough for him". These attachment meanings, made to explain the bodily felt arousal and the cue we perceived, are responses to the limbic danger bell. The primary feelings such as fear, panic or shame reinforced by such thoughts often go underground after a fleeting nanosecond, and are replaced by secondary emotions such as anger, despair or numbness.
5. **Action Tendencies**: – These are verbal and non-verbal behavioral responses to the fight or flight arousal. They may include total silence or the subtle signs of bodily arousal such as tension in voice tone or strained facial muscles. We all have our own habitual methods of dealing with threat. Some partners pursue,

demand and/or attack while others have learned to distance, defend, counter attack *or use a combination of these*. These are responses to the momentarily felt attachment panic, rapidly overlaid by protective secondary anger, despair or numbness.

- The action tendencies of one partner are then quickly recognized by a distressed partner and in turn become the cues or triggers for the second partner's limbic brain to sound the alarm bell warning of attachment danger (attachment panic). And once again, primary emotions like fear, panic or shame are likely to be pushed underground and covered with less vulnerable emotions. The partner will more likely engage in his own action tendencies of protective distancing or blaming.

The infinity loop metaphor which Scott Woolley developed to illustrate the cycle between partners nicely illustrates the steps of the process of emotion in action: each side of the loops represents one partner as he or she reacts to cues from the other. The entire loop represents how, as a system, each partner triggers and reacts to the other.



In order to join with a couple in de-escalating their negative cycle, each element in this process of emotion needs to be noticed and followed processed (reflected, experienced and shared in dialogue with partners). The elements of emotion which are not apparent or within partners' awareness need to be evoked and expanded. EFT Steps One and

Two typically include awareness of the parts above the dotted line in the infinity loop. These include cues/behaviours, appraisals and secondary emotions.

Steps Three and Four of EFT involve accessing the underlying primary attachment emotions and how they can be triggered in the cycle. For example, when one partner is critical and blaming, this can cue feelings of inadequacy, worthlessness and fear of failure and rejection in the other which can cause attachment panic (“I will surely lose her as I am such a disappointment to her”). Similarly, actions of withdrawal and distancing can trigger a partner’s attachment panic often in the form of object loneliness and fears of being unimportant and abandoned.

The EFT therapist is continually watching for markers of underlying emotions that are on the leading edge of awareness. For example, a client expresses reactive secondary emotion: “I am so exasperated with him! I have tried ten ways to get his attention, and he doesn’t hear me!” The first task is to validate the secondary emotion: “Of course you are frustrated with him when it seems to you that he is not taking time to hear you.” This helps the client to feel seen and immediately brings down the level of anxiety / reactivity in the room, which then opens the door for furthering exploration and linking the elements to each other.

To further unpack the emotion, the therapist sandwiches reflections with evocative questions such as the following, to access the different elements of emotion:

- “What do you see that tells you he is not listening?”
- “When do you get the message that he is ignoring you?” (to evoke the cue or trigger for her exasperation)
- “What sensations do you feel in your body when this happens?” (to evoke awareness of physiological arousal)
- “What do you feel like doing when... (to evoke the action tendency)
- “What does it tell you when he does not listen?” (to evoke this attachment meaning).
- 

In the context of the client’s appraisal, the implied attachment meaning is, “I do not matter enough and am not important / loveable enough for him to bother with me”. After validating the reactive secondary emotion in the context of this delineated experience, there is more safety to evoke primary attachment emotions that are minimized, avoided or discounted.

Holding in mind the information processing model of emotion as it unfolds in these series of cue-feeling-meaning-action elements (discussed in this article) is immeasurably valuable to the EFT therapist in tracking the cycle and accurately joining with our clients’ experiential worlds while they work to discover their hidden unmet attachment needs. The (physical) feeling is an access road to the initial raw felt sense of attachment panic, the primary emotional experience underlying secondary anger or the numbing that fuels

the cycle. This vague bodily sense needs to be up and running so the EFT therapist can help partners move beyond simply naming primary emotions, into processing and reprocessing fears and anguish about being alone and unacceptable and to eventually risk reaching coherently and clearly for their attachment needs to be met.